

NOS. 15-71780, 15-72570

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**KINGS COUNTY, et al.,**

Petitioners,

v.

**SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD;  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

Respondents,

**CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL  
AUTHORITY,**

Intervenor.

**DIGNITY HEALTH**

Petitioner,

v.

**SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD;  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

Respondents,

**CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL  
AUTHORITY,**

Intervenor.

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW OF FINAL ORDER  
OF SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

**INTERVENOR'S ADDENDUM**

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
JOHN A. SAURENMAN  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
DEBORAH M. SMITH  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
DANAE J. AITCHISON, SBN 176428  
JESSICA E. TUCKER-MOHL, SBN 262280  
Deputy Attorneys General  
1300 I Street, Suite 125  
P.O. Box 944255  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  
Telephone: (916) 322-5522  
Fax: (916) 327-2319  
Email: Danae.Aitchison@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for Intervenor*  
*California High-Speed Rail Authority*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **Constitutions**

U.S. Const. amend. X.....	1
---------------------------	---

### **Federal Statutes**

49 U.S.C. § 10502.....	2
------------------------	---

### **Federal Regulations**

40 C.F.R. § 1502.20.....	4
--------------------------	---

40 C.F.R. § 1508.28.....	4
--------------------------	---

### **State Statutes**

Cal. Pub. Resources Code, § 21093.....	5
--	---

Cal. Pub. Resources Code, § 21168.9.....	6
--	---

**U.S. Const. amend. X. Reserved Powers to States**

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

## **Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act**

### **49 U.S.C. § 10502. Authority to exempt rail carrier transportation**

(a) In a matter related to a rail carrier providing transportation subject to the jurisdiction of the Board under this part, the Board, to the maximum extent consistent with this part, shall exempt a person, class of persons, or a transaction or service whenever the Board finds that the application in whole or in part of a provision of this part—

(1) is not necessary to carry out the transportation policy of section 10101 of this title; and

(2) either—

(A) the transaction or service is of limited scope; or

(B) the application in whole or in part of the provision is not needed to protect shippers from the abuse of market power.

(b) The Board may, where appropriate, begin a proceeding under this section on its own initiative or on application by the Secretary of Transportation or an interested party. The Board shall, within 90 days after receipt of any such application, determine whether to begin an appropriate proceeding. If the Board decides not to begin a class exemption proceeding, the reasons for the decision shall be published in the Federal Register. Any proceeding begun as a result of an application under this subsection shall be completed within 9 months after it is begun.

(c) The Board may specify the period of time during which an exemption granted under this section is effective.

(d) The Board may revoke an exemption, to the extent it specifies, when it finds that application in whole or in part of a provision of this part to the person, class, or transportation is necessary to carry out the transportation policy of section 10101 of this title. The Board shall, within 90 days after receipt of a request for revocation under this subsection, determine whether to begin an appropriate proceeding. If the Board decides not to begin a proceeding to revoke a class exemption, the reasons for the decision shall be published in the Federal Register. Any proceeding begun as a result of a request under this subsection shall be completed within 9 months after it is begun.

**49 U.S.C. § 10502 (cont.)**

(e) No exemption order issued pursuant to this section shall operate to relieve any rail carrier from an obligation to provide contractual terms for liability and claims which are consistent with the provisions of section 11706 of this title. Nothing in this subsection or section 11706 of this title shall prevent rail carriers from offering alternative terms nor give the Board the authority to require any specific level of rates or services based upon the provisions of section 11706 of this title.

(f) The Board may exercise its authority under this section to exempt transportation that is provided by a rail carrier as part of a continuous intermodal movement.

(g) The Board may not exercise its authority under this section to relieve a rail carrier of its obligation to protect the interests of employees as required by this part.

## **National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Regulations**

### **40 C.F.R. § 1502.20. Tiering**

Agencies are encouraged to tier their environmental impact statements to eliminate repetitive discussions of the same issues and to focus on the actual issues ripe for decision at each level of environmental review (§ 1508.28). Whenever a broad environmental impact statement has been prepared (such as a program or policy statement) and a subsequent statement or environmental assessment is then prepared on an action included within the entire program or policy (such as a site specific action) the subsequent statement or environmental assessment need only summarize the issues discussed in the broader statement and incorporate discussions from the broader statement by reference and shall concentrate on the issues specific to the subsequent action. The subsequent document shall state where the earlier document is available. Tiering may also be appropriate for different stages of actions. (Section 1508.28).

### **40 C.F.R. § 1508.28. Tiering**

Tiering refers to the coverage of general matters in broader environmental impact statements (such as national program or policy statements) with subsequent narrower statements or environmental analyses (such as regional or basin wide program statements or ultimately site-specific statements) incorporating by reference the general discussions and concentrating solely on the issues specific to the statement subsequently prepared. Tiering is appropriate when the sequence of statements or analyses is:

(a) From a program, plan, or policy environmental impact statement to a program, plan, or policy statement or analysis of lesser scope or to a site-specific statement or analysis.

(b) From an environmental impact statement on a specific action at an early stage (such as need and site selection) to a supplement (which is preferred) or a subsequent statement or analysis at a later stage (such as environmental mitigation). Tiering in such cases is appropriate when it helps the lead agency to focus on the issues which are ripe for decision and exclude from consideration issues already decided or not yet ripe.

**California Environmental Quality Act**

**Cal. Pub. Resources Code, § 21093. Legislative findings and declaration; public agencies may tier environmental impact reports**

(a) The Legislature finds and declares that tiering of environmental impact reports will promote construction of needed housing and other development projects by (1) streamlining regulatory procedures, (2) avoiding repetitive discussions of the same issues in successive environmental impact reports, and (3) ensuring that environmental impact reports prepared for later projects which are consistent with a previously approved policy, plan, program, or ordinance concentrate upon environmental effects which may be mitigated or avoided in connection with the decision on each later project. The Legislature further finds and declares that tiering is appropriate when it helps a public agency to focus upon the issues ripe for decision at each level of environmental review and in order to exclude duplicative analysis of environmental effects examined in previous environmental impact reports.

(b) To achieve this purpose, environmental impact reports shall be tiered whenever feasible, as determined by the lead agency.

**California Environmental Quality Act**

**Cal. Pub. Resources Code, § 21168.9. Public agency actions; noncompliance with division; court order; content; restrictions**

(a) If a court finds, as a result of a trial, hearing, or remand from an appellate court, that any determination, finding, or decision of a public agency has been made without compliance with this division, the court shall enter an order that includes one or more of the following:

(1) A mandate that the determination, finding, or decision be voided by the public agency, in whole or in part.

(2) If the court finds that a specific project activity or activities will prejudice the consideration or implementation of particular mitigation measures or alternatives to the project, a mandate that the public agency and any real parties in interest suspend any or all specific project activity or activities, pursuant to the determination, finding, or decision, that could result in an adverse change or alteration to the physical environment, until the public agency has taken any actions that may be necessary to bring the determination, finding, or decision into compliance with this division.

(3) A mandate that the public agency take specific action as may be necessary to bring the determination, finding, or decision into compliance with this division.

(b) Any order pursuant to subdivision (a) shall include only those mandates which are necessary to achieve compliance with this division and only those specific project activities in noncompliance with this division. The order shall be made by the issuance of a peremptory writ of mandate specifying what action by the public agency is necessary to comply with this division. However, the order shall be limited to that portion of a determination, finding, or decision or the specific project activity or activities found to be in noncompliance only if a court finds that (1) the portion or specific project activity or activities are severable, (2) severance will not prejudice complete and full compliance with this division, and (3) the court has not found the remainder of the project to be in noncompliance with this division. The trial court shall retain jurisdiction over the public agency's proceedings by way of a return to the peremptory writ until the court has determined that the public agency has complied with this division.

**Cal. Pub. Resources Code, § 21168.9 (cont.)**

(c) Nothing in this section authorizes a court to direct any public agency to exercise its discretion in any particular way. Except as expressly provided in this section, nothing in this section is intended to limit the equitable powers of the court.

Dated: May 6, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
JOHN A. SAURENMAN  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
DEBORAH M. SMITH  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

/s/ Danae J. Aitchison

DANAE J. AITCHISON  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Intervenor*  
*California High-Speed Rail Authority*

SA2015300956  
32468668.doc

